

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,480	08/28/2003	Michael Robert Rice	7676/SYNX/JB	6165
41161	7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
DUGAN & DUGAN, PC			MARC, MCDIEUNEL	
55 SOUTH B TARRYTOW	ROADWAY N, NY 10591		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	··,		3661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 /		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/650,480	RICE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		McDieunel Marc	3661				
<u> </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication append for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a note of the control o	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 September 2004.					
		This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-89</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-89</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/23/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a	inst or the certified copies flot	received.				
A44	W-3						
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intonious	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13/04 - 9/15/04</u> .	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·				
Potent and T	ademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/650,480

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-89 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. <u>See In re Goodman</u>, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); <u>In re Longi</u>, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); <u>In re Van Ornum</u>, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); <u>In re Vogel</u>, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, <u>In re Thorington</u>, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-89 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17-20 of copending Application No. US 20040081546A1. Also, unpatentable over claims 1, 13, 23, 26, 28 and 30 of copending Application No. US 20040062633A1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the application encompass the claims of the copending application because they are broader.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

It is well settled that the omission of an element, and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963)*. Also note *Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969)*. Omission of a reference element or step whose function is not needed would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Dependent claims not specifically rejected are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to McDieunel Marc whose telephone number is (571) 272-6964. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,480

Art Unit: 3661

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

McDieunel Marc

Monday, May 30, 2005

MM/